
NASDE 12.11.2024



Today: SDEs.

(1)

We fix the setting: $T \in (0, \infty)$, $d, m \in \mathbb{N}$,
 $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]})$ - filtered probability space, $W: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ Brownian motion.

We also fix the coefficient fns and initial value

$\mu: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ (drift)
 $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$ (diffusion)
 $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable.

We consider the SDE:

$$dX_t = \mu(X_t) dt + \sigma(X_t) dW_t, \quad t \in [0, T]$$
$$X_0 = \xi \quad (*)$$

We say that stochastic process $X: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is a sol-n of (*)

(2)

If X is \mathbb{F} -adapted (X_t is \mathcal{F}_t -meas.) and has cont. sample paths

(2) $\mathbb{P} \left(\int_0^T \|\mu(X_s)\|_{\mathbb{R}^d} + \|\sigma(X_s)\|_{HS}^2 ds < \infty \right) = 1$

$$\left[\int_0^T \mathbb{E} [\|\sigma(X_s)\|_{HS}] ds < \infty \right],$$

↑ weaker than

one can define $\int \gamma_s dW_s$ for

γ with $\mathbb{P} \left(\int_0^T \|\gamma_s\|_{HS}^2 ds < \infty \right)$,

however $\int_0^T \gamma_s dW_s \in L^0(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{R}^d)$

$$(3) \quad X_t = \xi + \int_0^t \mu(X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) dW_s, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

$\forall t \in [0, T]$

Note: X is an Ito process with drift $\mu(x)$ and diff. $\sigma(x)$

Uniqueness: sol-ns of $(*)$ are not typically unique in the pathwise sense. (3)

- However, under suitable assumptions we can get uniqueness up to indistinguishability

$$\exists A \in \mathcal{F}: P(A) = 1 \text{ and}$$

$$A \subseteq \bigcap_{t \in [0, T]} [X_t = Y_t] \text{ - } X \text{ and } Y \text{ indistinguishable}$$

However, if X and Y are cont. stoch. ps

$$\bigcap_{t \in [0, T]} \{X_t = Y_t\} \in \mathcal{F}$$

$$P(\forall t \in [0, T]: \{X_t = Y_t\}) = 1$$

Thm: Uniqueness of sol-ns: (4)
Let μ, σ be locally Lipschitz cont., i.e.

$\forall K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ compact:

$$\sup_{\substack{x, y \in K \\ x \neq y}} \frac{\|\mu(x) - \mu(y)\| + \|\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)\|}{\|x - y\|} < \infty$$

and let X and Y be sol-ns of $(*)$. Then X and Y are indistinguishable from each other, i.e.

$$P(\forall t \in [0, T]: X_t = Y_t) = 1$$

Example of locally Lipschitz cont. fns:

$$f(x) = x^2, f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = |x^2 - y^2| = |x - y| \cdot |x + y|$$

If $x, y \in [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$

$$|x+y| \leq |x| + |y| \leq 2 \max\{|a|, |b|\} \Rightarrow$$

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq 2 \max\{|a|, |b|\} \cdot |x-y|$$

However, there is no $L > 0$ s.t. $\forall x, y \in [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq L|x-y|, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$$

f is not globally-Lipschitz.

Theorem: Existence & uniqueness:

Let $\mu \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\xi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_0, \mathbb{R}^d)$, μ, \tilde{v} are globally Lipschitz continuous, i.e. $\exists C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}_0, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\|\mu(x) - \mu(y)\|_{\mathbb{R}^d} + \|\tilde{v}(x) - \tilde{v}(y)\|_{\mathbb{H}^s} \leq C \|x-y\|_{\mathbb{R}^d}$$

(5)

$$\left[\sup_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ x \neq y}} \frac{\|\mu(x) - \mu(y)\| + \|\tilde{v}(x) - \tilde{v}(y)\|}{\|x-y\|} < \infty \right] \quad (6)$$

Then

(*) there exists up to indistinguishability unique sol-n X

$$(ii) \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_{L^p(\mathbb{P}; \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{R}^d})} < \infty$$

Time dependent coefficients: (**)

$$X_t = \xi + \int_0^t \mu(s, X_s) ds + \int_0^t \tilde{v}(s, X_s) dW_s$$

\uparrow
 $S + d \cdot X_s$

One can autonomize (**)

$$\tilde{X}_t = \tilde{\xi} + \int_0^t \tilde{\mu}(\tilde{X}_s) ds + \int_0^t \tilde{v}(\tilde{X}_s) d\tilde{W}_s$$

Existence & uniqueness for (A): $\textcircled{4}$

$$\| \mu(t_1, x_1) - \mu(t_2, x_2) \| + \| \tilde{b}(t_1, x_1) - \tilde{b}(t_2, x_2) \| \leq C (|t_1 - t_2| + \|x_1 - x_2\|)$$

C is independent of t_1, t_2, x_1, x_2

\Rightarrow global Lipschitz cont of $\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{b} \Rightarrow \exists! \tilde{X} \Rightarrow \exists! X$

Example: Geometric BM.

$$dX_t = \alpha X_t dt + \beta X_t dW_t, t \in [0, T], X_0 = \xi$$

$$\mu(x) = \alpha x, \tilde{b}(x) = \beta x$$

μ and \tilde{b} are globally Lipschitz \Rightarrow we have existence & uniqueness. Last time we showed

$$X_t = \exp\left(\left(\alpha - \frac{\beta^2}{2}\right)t + \beta W_t\right) \xi \textcircled{8}$$

is a sol-n \Rightarrow it is the sol-n.

X-geometric Brownian motion

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}[X_t] = \alpha \cdot \mathbb{E}[X_t]$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t] = e^{\alpha t} \cdot \xi$$

Ex: Black-Scholes model.

$$\alpha = 2, m = 1$$

$$\mu(x) = \mu \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha x_1 \\ \alpha x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{b}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$dX_t = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha X_t^{(1)} \\ \alpha X_t^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} dt + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta X_t^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} dW_t$$

$\alpha, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \beta \in (0, \infty)$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} dX_t^{(1)} &= r X_t^{(1)} dt \\ dX_t^{(2)} &= \alpha X_t^{(2)} dt + \beta X_t^{(2)} dW_t \end{aligned} \right\} \text{BS-SDE} \quad X_0 = \xi$$

Obviously, μ and θ are globally Lipschitz, $\Rightarrow \exists!$ sol-n

$$X: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} E[\|X_t\|^p] < \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} X_t^{(1)} = e^{rt} \cdot \xi^{(1)} & \text{(deterministic)} \\ X_t^{(2)} = \exp\left(\left(\alpha - \frac{\beta^2}{2}\right)t + \beta W_t\right) \cdot \xi^{(2)} \end{cases}$$

$X_t^{(1)}$ - models the price of a "riskfree" asset. (e.g. bank account with fixed interest rate r).

$(X_t^{(2)})$ - models the price of an underlying (e.g. stock, commodity, currency, index, S&P 500...) with

- with expected mode $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,
- with volatility $\beta > 0$
- with initial price $\xi^{(2)} > 0$.

\rightarrow Can be used in derivative pricing

A financial derivative: a product which is derived via one or several underlyings

Simple examples:
European put and call options

European put option: Contract between 2 parties, gives the holder of the option the right but no obligation

to sell fixed underlying, at a fixed time T , for the fixed price K .
 value at time T :

$$\max\{K - X_T, 0\}$$

European call: Gives right to buy.

$$\max\{X_T - K, 0\}$$

American put:

$$\max\{K - x_t, 0\}, t \in [0, T]$$

Derivative pricing: Fixing "fair" price for the finan. derivative at time $t=0$ "today".

→ Assume at time T we have gain (loss) $f(X)$, $f: C([0, T], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$

In the case of European call (12)

$$f(X) = \max\{X_T - K, 0\}$$

The fundamental theorem of asset pricing tells us under certain ass. on the market we have

\exists \mathbb{F} -adapted cont. process

$$D: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t.}$$

$$D_T = f(X^{(2)}) \text{ and}$$

$$d\tilde{X}_t = \alpha \tilde{X}_t + \beta \tilde{X}_t dW_t, \tilde{X}_0 = X_0^{(2)}$$

$$D_0 = \frac{\mathbb{E}[f(X)]}{\mathbb{E}[1]} = e^{-rT} \cdot \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$$

European call; $X_T^{(1)}$

$$e^{-rT} \mathbb{E}[\max\{e^{(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2})T + \ln(x_0^{(2)}) + \sigma W_T} - K, 0\}]$$